Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of the

resolution and want to focus on what

this debate is all about.

This debate is all about whether Saddam continued to build weapons of

mass destruction after 1991 and would

he use them. Well, I think everyone is

in agreement in the second question,

that he will use them because he has

already done that. He has done it with

the Kurds. He has done it with his own

population a number of times.

Let us talk about whether or not he

has weapons of mass destruction and

how he got them. Mr. Speaker, I have

given no less than 12 speeches on the

floor of this House about the proliferation that occurred to Saddam Hussein

in the 1990s.

Mr. Speaker, I insert two documents

that I have inserted in the CONGRESSIONAL

RECORD five times in the past.

Mr. Speaker, these are chronologies

of weapons-related transfers of tech-

nology to Saddam by Chinese interests

and Russian interests.

Mr. Speaker, during the 1990s, I

would remind my colleagues, 37 times

we had evidence of China and Russia

transferring weapon technology to

Hussein. Every one of those should

have required a response, should have

required sanctions. The previous ad-

ministration imposed sanctions a total

of four times out of 37. In nine of those

cases, it was chemical and biological

weapon technology, the very technology today that we are worried

about. We saw it being transferred, and

we did nothing about it. In fact, only in

two of those nine cases did we impose the required sanctions.

Mr. Speaker, we have evidence which

I will submit in the RECORD also of

Iraq’s policy on their defense system

and offensive capabilities, both a 1984

document and a 1987 document. In the

document Saddam’s military talks

about the use of chemical and biological weapons.

In President Bush’s speech this past

week he said, ‘‘All that might be required of Saddam are a small container

and one terrorist or Iraqi intelligence operative to deliver it.’’

Well, here it is. Mr. Speaker, this is

a biological disbursing device. You can

build it for less than $100. If I would not

offend the Parliamentarian, I would

turn it on and you would have a plume

in this room. If you put that device in

the Metro station subway in D.C. and

activate it, based on a study by the Office of Technology Assessment, you

would have 150,000 people in the D.C.

commuter system killed by the dispersion of 4.5 kilograms of anthrax.

Just like we saw back in the 1990s

when we had evidence that Russian entities transferred these devices,

a Soviet accelerometer and a Soviet gyroscope, which the previous

administration did nothing about, never imposed

the required sanctions. Now we have to pay the price.

Does Saddam have chemical and biological weapons? Absolutely. Where did

he get it from? He got it from those 37

transfers that we knew about that are

now in the record that we did nothing

about. Does he have a nuclear weapon

like the one I have in front of me that

General Alexander Lebed told my delegation in 1997 that they built? And the

previous administration when it became public said, we deny the Russians ever built them.

The previous administration sided

with the Russian Ministry of Foreign

Affairs and said we have no reason to

doubt them, even though two top Russian leaders said there was reason to

believe 80 of these devices were missing.

The reason why we have to support

the President is because the failures of

our policies in the past decade have

given Saddam Hussein biological and

chemical weapon capability, nuclear

weapon capability, missile capability,

none of which should have occurred

during the 1990s if we would have enforced the very arms control agreements that the other side now talks

about. Thirty-seven times we had evidence, nine cases of chemical and biological weapons going from Russian

and China to Iraq. And what did we do?

We went like this and like that. And

now we are faced with the consequence.

So what President Bush has said is

we must stand up and we must show

the world that we will not tolerate

what went on in the 1990s. We will not

sit back and allow 37 violations to go

unchecked. We will not pretend we do

not see them because we want to keep

Yeltsen in power. We will not pretend

we do not want to see them because we

want to protect the financial interests

of the PLA for our fund-raising purposes.

We should have done this during the

1990s, but we did not. I say to my colleagues, support this resolution. Give

the President a unanimous voice that

says to the U.N., we will act to finally

do what we did not do in the 1990s, and

that is enforce the requirements of the

six resolutions that were passed back then.

And if my colleagues want to see

what a biological disbursement weapon

looks like, come see me. I will activate it for them in the cloak room.